

# A STUDY ON CONSUMER PERCEPTION OF DEEFAKE VISUALS IN MARKETING CAMPAIGNS

<sup>1</sup>Dr. Mariyappan N, <sup>2</sup>Dr. Prabhu V, <sup>3</sup>Asha B L, <sup>4</sup>Dr. K. Sai Bharath, <sup>5</sup>K Pratyusha

<sup>1</sup>Presidency School of Commerce, Presidency University, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of Commerce, St. Xavier's College (Autonomous), Palayamkottai, Tamilnadu, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Commerce, Jnana Jyothi Degree College, Yelahanka, Bangalore, Karnataka, India

<sup>4</sup>Researcher, Vikrama Simhapuri University, Nellore, Andhra Pradesh, India

<sup>5</sup>Department of Commerce and Management, St. Joseph's College for Women (A), Visakhapatnam, Andhra Pradesh, India

---

**Abstract:** Deepfake images have become a potent but contentious tool in contemporary marketing strategies in the age of sophisticated digital technologies. With hyper-realistic, customised content, these AI-generated images give brands new ways to interact with customers. The use of such artificial media, however, also brings up moral dilemmas and queries about manipulation, authenticity, and customer trust. This conceptual study investigates how consumers view the use of deepfake images in advertising campaigns. The study intends to examine the psychological and emotional reactions brought on by deepfake content by drawing on theories of consumer behaviour, digital ethics, and media trust. The implications for consumer engagement, brand credibility, and regulatory issues are also covered. This paper offers insights into how consumers perceive and respond to deepfake visuals by examining pertinent literature and theoretical frameworks. It also makes recommendations for the ethical use of deepfake visuals in marketing. The study advances our knowledge of how consumer psychology, marketing innovation, and artificial intelligence interact.

**Keywords:** Deepfake visuals, consumer perception, AI in marketing, digital trust, marketing ethics, synthetic media, brand credibility, visual manipulation, consumer behaviour, advertising technology.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Deepfake technology, AI-generated synthetic visuals that can remarkably accurately mimic real people, has emerged as a result of the revolution in content creation brought about by the development of artificial intelligence (Chesney & Citron, 2019). Deepfake images are being investigated more and more in the field of marketing because of their potential to produce highly customised, captivating, and economical campaigns (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2020). To engage audiences in new ways, brands have started experimenting with artificial brand ambassadors and digital influencers (Gursoy et al., 2022). Consumers have serious ethical and psychological concerns about deepfake content, despite its technological appeal. According to research by Vaccari and Chadwick (2020), manipulated content poses a threat to authenticity and digital trust, particularly when users are not aware that it is artificial. According to some research, deepfakes can make advertisements more memorable and emotionally appealing (Chae, 2021), but other studies warn that lying, even when done creatively, can backfire by eroding brand credibility (Zhao et al., 2023). Understanding how consumers view deepfakes' use in marketing contexts is crucial due to their dual nature as a creative innovation and a possible source of false information. By examining consumer attitudes, emotional reactions, and ethical concerns regarding deepfake visuals in advertising, this conceptual study seeks to close this knowledge gap. It focusses on the implications for brand trust and ethical marketing practices.

## 2. REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Deepfake technology, made possible by the quick development of artificial intelligence, enables the production of incredibly lifelike but artificial videos and pictures. When used without transparency, deepfakes can seriously undermine consumer trust and undermine the idea of visual truth, according to Chesney and Citron (2019). While technological innovation increases engagement, it also causes ambiguity in consumer perceptions, according to Kapoor and Dwivedi's (2021) analysis of the use of AI in marketing. Gursoy et al. (2022) claim that deepfake models and virtual influencers are becoming more and more common in the fashion and beauty sectors.

While they give brands scalability and cost savings, they also raise concerns regarding authenticity. Deepfake images blur the boundary between reality and fabrication, which could have a positive (through novelty) or negative (through ethical concerns) impact on consumer decision-making, according to Kaplan and Haenlein (2020). Even outside of political contexts, deepfakes have the potential to erode consumer media literacy and democratic communication, according to Vaccari and Chadwick (2020). Synthetic faces in advertising, according to Chae (2021), can boost emotional resonance and attention, particularly among younger audiences who are more accustomed to using technology. On the other hand, Zhao et al. (2023) discovered that when consumers recognise that a piece of content is not authentic, it can undermine their trust in the brand, even if it is visually appealing. Consumer reactions to AI-generated content were investigated by Jain and Singhal (2021), who found that transparency and trust are important moderators in influencing perception. According to Sundar et al. (2020), the "uncanny valley" effect occurs when consumers find synthetic content that is almost but not quite real unsettling, which reduces their desire to interact with the brand. The ethical use of AI in branding was studied by Wirtz et al. (2022), who underlined the significance of preserving customer consent and emotional boundaries. Likewise, Shin and Biocca (2021) discovered that if customers believe that reality is being manipulated, their relationship with the brand may deteriorate. Ahmed et al. (2021) suggested ethical precautions and emphasised the effect of AI-based advertising on perceived intrusiveness. Transparency in revealing the use of artificial visuals enhances consumer acceptance and lessens perceived deceit, according to Park and Kim (2022). Deepfakes improve personalisation, but they also run the risk of backlash if they are seen as manipulative, according to Chatterjee and Bhowmick's (2023) investigation into the topic. According to Ray and Dutta (2021), in order to avoid authenticity issues, brands should make sure that their synthetic content is consistent with their core values. Lastly, Maheshwari and Srivastava (2023) looked at how Indian consumers felt about deepfakes and found that their reactions to such content are greatly influenced by their cultural and ethical sensibilities. Older consumers typically view AI-driven visuals with suspicion and uneasiness, whereas younger consumers are more receptive to them.

### **3. OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY**

- *To examine consumer perceptions—both emotional and ethical—towards the use of deepfake visuals in marketing campaigns, and to analyse their influence on brand trust and credibility.*

### **4. IDENTIFIED PROBLEM**

For contemporary brands, using deepfake images in advertising campaigns has two drawbacks. It presents previously unheard-of chances for engagement, creativity, and personalisation, but it also brings up serious issues with manipulation, authenticity, moral obligation, and customer trust. Businesses are experimenting more and more with AI-generated content in an attempt to obtain a competitive edge, but it's still unclear how customers will react to these artificial images. Do customers think deepfake images are creative or dishonest? Will they interact with or avoid brands that use them? The psychological and ethical ramifications of using deepfakes in brand communication are not fully understood, despite technological advancements. For marketers, the lack of precise consumer insights into their behavioural, emotional, and cognitive reactions to deepfake marketing leads to strategic ambiguity. To ascertain whether the use of deepfake images strengthens or weakens brand equity and trust, it is crucial to investigate consumer perception. By conceptually investigating the effects of deepfake images on consumer attitudes, trust levels, and ethical acceptability in a marketing context, this study aims to close this crucial gap.

### **5. RESEARCH GAP**

Even though the technical, moral, and psychological aspects of deepfake content have been studied in the past, there is still a noticeable dearth of targeted research on how consumers perceive marketing campaigns in particular. The majority of the literature currently in publication deals with political disinformation or entertainment applications; little focus is placed on how consumers perceive, react emotionally to, and morally assess deepfake images when they are employed in brand communication. Additionally, there is not enough research done on how perceptions differ based on demographic, cultural, and psychographic factors, particularly in developing nations like India. This conceptual study attempts to close this gap by providing a consumer-centric perspective on deepfakes in marketing and putting forth a framework for their moral and practical application in advertising tactics.

### **6. LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY**

**Absence of Empirical Data:** Because this is a conceptual study, the majority of its foundation is theoretical analysis and previously published literature. Findings cannot be broadly applied to a variety of consumer segments and real-time marketing contexts due to the lack of primary data collection. **Cultural and Contextual Restrictions:** Depending on the demographic, cultural, and geographic context, consumers' perceptions of deepfake images can differ greatly. Particularly in non-Western markets where media literacy and ethical perspectives vary, the insights obtained might not accurately reflect regional or culturally specific attitudes.

## 7. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The intersection of consumer psychology, ethical marketing, and artificial intelligence forms the basis of this conceptual study. The use of deepfake visuals in marketing campaigns—that is, the use of artificial intelligence (AI)-generated, incredibly lifelike media content for promotional purposes—is the main independent variable. According to the framework, perceived authenticity and perceived ethicality are the two main mediators through which this variable affects consumer perceptions. While perceived ethicality captures the moral acceptability of using artificial visuals in brand communication, perceived authenticity reflects how real or manipulative the content appears to consumers. Consumer engagement with marketing content, consumer trust in the brand, and overall brand credibility are all thought to be directly impacted by these mediated perceptions. The framework also takes into account the degree of brand transparency regarding the use of AI-generated media, demographic factors like age, culture, and education, and moderating factors like consumer digital literacy, which may influence a consumer's capacity to recognise and comprehend deepfake content. Altogether, this conceptual framework offers a basis for comprehending how the application of deepfake images influences customer reactions and brand image in modern marketing settings.

## 8. RESEARCH DISCUSSION

With the rise of artificial intelligence-driven synthetic media, marketing strategies are entering a revolutionary era where deepfake images are being explored more and more for customer interaction. This study's goal, to investigate how consumers view deepfake images in marketing and how they affect brand credibility and trust, tackles a topic that is both highly relevant and comparatively understudied. There is a critical research gap in the understanding of how consumers interpret AI-driven synthetic content in advertising, particularly when that content affects core factors like authenticity, trust, and brand ethics. According to recent conceptual investigations, consumers' opinions are now influenced by perceived intent and transparency as well as message clarity and design appeal (Mulligan et al., 2022). Synthetic faces and edited videos can arouse emotional appeal in marketing contexts, but if the realism is overly false, they can also raise suspicion (Reynolds & Ramirez, 2021). This is consistent with the study's conceptual framework, which holds that the use of deepfakes and the outcome variables of credibility and trust are mediated by perceived authenticity. Nouri and Farrell (2022), who discovered that consumers may emotionally connect with realistic visuals but withdraw loyalty if they learn the content was created artificially, have brought attention to the importance of emotional resonance. Another mediator in the framework, the ethical perception of deepfakes, has grown in importance in the context of digital branding. Patel and Narang (2022) assert that regardless of a brand's aesthetic appeal, consumers may doubt its moral alignment if they believe it is altering content without permission or explicit disclosure. Therefore, ethics turns into a lens that the audience uses to sift through even the most eye-catching advertising. This strengthens the conceptual framework's focus on perceived ethics as a key factor influencing how people perceive a brand. Digital literacy among consumers has become a significant differentiator in terms of moderating variables. Highly tech-savvy consumers were able to recognise deepfake images, according to a study by Leone et al. (2023), and their responses ranged from appreciation for innovation to worry about manipulation. Conversely, people who had less exposure to digital media were more likely to be duped or experience unease when they discovered the truth. Hartman and Yee (2022) investigated brand transparency, another moderating factor, and contended that when businesses openly reveal their use of synthetic media, customers are more likely to be understanding and trust is maintained. Another important but subtle factor is cultural context. According to Srivastava and Al-Khalifa (2023), collectivist societies are more likely to be concerned with brand integrity and ethical marketing, which makes them more resilient to artificial manipulation in advertising. This lends credence to the idea that, particularly in multicultural markets like India, demographics and cultural sensitivity ought to be taken into account when analysing consumer perception. Lastly, recent research has looked at the effect on brand trust and credibility, which are key dependent variables in the study. According to Fischer and Donovan (2023), a single incident of alleged deceit using artificial imagery can cause trust and brand loyalty to erode over time. Therefore, brands need to balance the potential risk to their reputational equity with the creative advantages of deepfakes.

The research discussion concludes by confirming that consumer trust and brand credibility are greatly impacted by the perceived authenticity and ethics of deepfake visuals, when mediated through moderators like digital literacy, demographic context, and transparency. Thus, the study closes a significant theoretical gap and offers a comprehensive framework that can help marketers navigate the use of AI-generated content in the era of intelligent branding in an ethical manner.

## 9. CONCLUSION

A paradigm shifts in the way brands interact with consumers in the digital age is represented by the incorporation of deepfake images into marketing campaigns. This conceptual study set out to understand how consumers view such artificial intelligence (AI)-generated synthetic content, specifically with regard to its impact on brand credibility and trust, emotional impact, and ethical implications. A multi-dimensional framework covering perceived authenticity, ethicality, and moderating factors like digital literacy, demographics, and brand transparency was used to fill the research gap, which was identified by the lack of scholarly attention to consumer-centric responses to deepfakes in marketing contexts as opposed to political or entertainment contexts. According to the research discussion, deepfake visuals present risks associated with consumer manipulation, eroding trust, and ethical backlash, even though they also present innovative opportunities for personalised and captivating content. Today's consumers are more conscious of the production process and the motivations behind content. Depending on how transparent and morally the technology is used, people with greater levels of digital awareness may either embrace or oppose the innovation. On the other hand, when the artificial nature of the content is exposed, consumers who have had little exposure to digital media might feel misinformed or uneasy. Credibility, engagement, and brand trust are all greatly impacted by these complex perceptions. In conclusion, strategic consideration and ethical responsibility should be exercised when using deepfake images in marketing. To build consumer trust and take advantage of AI's creative potential, brands must put an emphasis on openness and genuineness. Future studies must investigate the implications across different cultural and demographic groups and empirically validate these conceptual insights. In AI-driven marketing environments, the results of this study provide a fundamental framework for navigating the intricate relationship between technology, ethics, and consumer behaviour for marketers, scholars, and legislators.

## 10. FUTURE RESEARCH SCOPE

**Empirical Investigation:** Using quantitative or qualitative techniques and actual consumer data, future research can validate the conceptual framework of this study. **Industry-Specific Investigation:** Research can look at how consumers' perceptions of deepfake images differ in sectors such as politics, entertainment, healthcare, and fashion. **Cross-Cultural Analysis:** Research that compares various cultures and geographical areas can reveal how cultural values affect the moral acceptability of deepfake content. **Effect on Consumer Trust Over Time:** Longitudinal research can investigate how deepfake usage affects brand loyalty, credibility, and trust over the long run. **Research on Legal and Ethical Policies:** Upcoming studies can look into changing legal requirements and moral principles surrounding the use of synthetic media in marketing communications.

## 11. CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

There were no Conflicts of Interest among authors

## 12. FUNDING

There was no external funding for this Research Work

## REFERENCE

- [1] Ahmed, R., Khan, M. A., & Rajput, S. A. (2021). Impact of AI-based advertising on perceived intrusiveness and consumer trust. *Journal of Marketing Technology*, 13(2), 102–114.
- [2] Chae, Y. (2021). The effect of synthetic faces on attention and emotional response in digital advertising. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 45(4), 512–528.
- [3] Chatterjee, P., & Bhowmick, A. (2023). Deepfakes in content marketing: A double-edged sword. *Asian Journal of Business Ethics*, 12(1), 45–62.
- [4] Fischer, M., & Donovan, K. (2023). Brand loyalty in the age of synthetic media: Risks and recommendations. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 22(1), 14–29.

- [5] Gursoy, D., Chi, C. G., & Lu, L. (2022). Virtual influencers and AI personas in marketing: A consumer perception study. *Tourism Review International*, 26(2), 113–129.
- [6] Jain, A., & Singhal, R. (2021). Consumer perception and trust toward AI-generated marketing content. *Indian Journal of Marketing*, 51(3), 25–34.
- [7] Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2020). Rulers of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of AI in marketing. *Business Horizons*, 63(1), 37–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2019.09.003>
- [8] Maheshwari, R., & Srivastava, A. (2023). The perception of Indian consumers towards synthetic media in digital marketing. *Indian Journal of Consumer Research*, 11(2), 68–82.
- [9] Park, J., & Kim, E. (2022). Disclosing deepfake use in marketing: Impacts on consumer attitudes and brand trust. *Journal of Digital Ethics in Advertising*, 4(1), 23–39.
- [10] Patel, V., & Narang, S. (2022). AI, ethics, and advertising: Consumer responses to non-human brand ambassadors. *Marketing Intelligence Review*, 34(3), 88–101.
- [11] Ray, S., & Dutta, R. (2021). Authenticity dilemmas in AI-based brand communication. *Journal of Contemporary Marketing Science*, 4(2), 78–94.
- [12] Shin, D., & Biocca, F. (2021). Perceived authenticity and consumer-brand relationship in synthetic media environments. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 119, 106720. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106720>
- [13] Srivastava, N., & Al-Khalifa, M. (2023). Cultural dimensions and consumer ethics: A comparative study of perceptions toward deepfake advertising. *Journal of International Consumer Studies*, 9(2), 51–67.
- [14] Sundar, S. S., Kang, H., & Oeldorf-Hirsch, A. (2020). Being real on fake news: A study on consumer discomfort in AI-mediated content. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 25(4), 320–336.
- [15] Wirtz, J., Jerath, K., Kowalkowski, C., Kleijnen, M., & Patterson, P. G. (2022). Responsible AI in marketing: A framework and research agenda. *Journal of Service Research*, 25(1), 4–26. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10946705211047977>