ISBN - 978-81-989755-2-2 | https://rchubpublisher.com/re-elt-21/

Focused AI Assessment for Immediate Evaluation in ELT: Pedagogical Innovations and Ethical Imperatives

Er. V. Vigneshwaran,

ELT Coach, Chennai,

Tamil Nadu, India.

Abstract

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) is ushering in a profound transformation within English Language Teaching (ELT), fundamentally altering established pedagogical approaches and the roles of both technology and instructors. This evolution aligns directly with the contemporary discourse on "Reimagining ELT: Innovations, Inclusivity, and AI-Driven Classrooms," a theme central to current academic inquiry. AI technologies are increasingly woven into educational settings, reshaping traditional teaching and learning paradigms by fostering more personalised learning experiences, offering flexible teaching methodologies, and promoting inclusive management practices.

This chapter investigates the multifaceted implications of AI for ELT. It explores the innovative potential AI offers for enhancing learning outcomes and streamlining instructional processes, while simultaneously addressing the critical challenges and ethical considerations that accompany its adoption. The aim is to advocate for a human-centred and ethically grounded integration of AI, thereby cultivating inclusive and effective learning environments tailored for 21st-century educators and learners. This ongoing evolution in ELT pedagogy represents a significant shift, moving beyond mere tool adoption to a fundamental re-evaluation of how language acquisition is facilitated. Educators are increasingly adapting their roles from primary content deliverers to facilitators and guides within these technologically enriched learning spaces. This transformation underscores the necessity of a balanced and critical perspective when considering AI integration, recognising its inherent dual nature, where immense positive potential is juxtaposed with significant concerns regarding bias, privacy, and the potential impact on cognitive skill development.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, English Language Teaching, Personalised Learning, Automated Assessment, Algorithmic Bias, Data Privacy, Human-Centred AI*

Introduction: Reimagining ELT with AI-Driven Assessment

The landscape of English Language Teaching (ELT) is currently undergoing a profound transformation, largely driven by the rapid advancements and integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies. This technological evolution presents a synergistic relationship with language education, fundamentally reshaping traditional teaching and learning paradigms. AI's increasing presence in educational settings has ignited a dual perspective. While some educators recognise the substantial benefits AI applications offer in learning and teaching processes, others express concerns about the potential impact on students' creativity and authenticity. This inherent tension, where potential challenges balance the promise of innovation, forms a central theme in understanding the role of AI in ELT. The adoption of AI in education is not merely a technological upgrade; it represents a fundamental shift that necessitates careful consideration of both its opportunities and its ethical implications.

Within this evolving environment, a particular area of focus is AI assessment designed for immediate evaluation in ELT. These AI-powered tools are becoming increasingly prevalent, offering real-time feedback and significantly enhancing student engagement. Unlike traditional assessment methods that often involve considerable delays in feedback, AI-driven systems can provide instantaneous insights into learner performance. This immediacy is not just a matter of speed; it fundamentally alters the feedback loop, allowing learners to identify and correct errors as they occur, thereby reinforcing learning in the moment. The subsequent sections of this chapter will delve into the specific types of AI tools facilitating this immediate assessment, explore their pedagogical advantages, examine the evolving role of the ELT instructor, and critically address the essential ethical considerations for responsible and effective implementation.

AI-Powered Tools for Real-Time Assessment in ELT

The integration of AI into ELT has given rise to a diverse array of tools capable of providing immediate feedback, significantly enhancing the learning process.

These tools span various language skills, offering targeted and timely evaluations.

Automated Writing Evaluation (AWE) and Essay Scoring (AES)

Automated Essay Scoring (AES) represents a significant advancement in writing assessment, functioning as a computerised system that leverages Artificial Intelligence and Natural Language Processing (NLP) to evaluate and score essays. These systems analyse various

linguistic factors, including grammar, vocabulary, structure, and overall coherence, by comparing student responses against predefined rubrics to assign a score akin to human grading. NLP, a foundational technology, enables computers to recognise, understand, and generate text by combining computational linguistics with machine learning and deep learning. This allows AES tools to process and interpret complex written language.

The benefits of AES tools are substantial, particularly in terms of efficiency and consistency. They can score an entire class's essays within minutes, a stark contrast to the hours traditionally required by human educators, thereby freeing up valuable teacher time for more direct student support. Furthermore, AES systems offer a high degree of consistency and objectivity. By applying the same rubric to every essay without distraction or unconscious bias, they provide a standardised starting point for evaluation, ensuring that scoring is based on the quality of writing rather than subjective factors. Prominent examples in this domain include EssayGrader, lauded for its accuracy and ability to cut scoring time by 80% while providing transparent, teacher-focused feedback. The ETS e-Rater Scoring Engine, frequently used for standardised exams like the GRE and TOEFL, combines AI with human input to deliver personalised feedback, analysing grammar, style, organisation, and lexical complexity using NLP and AI. IntelliMetric is another notable tool, recognised for its adaptive feedback, high accuracy, and plagiarism detection capabilities. Additionally, Olex.AI stands out as an award-winning platform that transforms English writing assessment with lightning-fast feedback and customizable marking criteria, further streamlining the process for educators.

It is important to acknowledge the nuanced nature of feedback provided by these automated systems. Automated Writing Evaluation (AWE) can encompass both automated scoring and automated feedback. While AI can offer real-time grammatical assistance and reduce the burden of error correction for teachers, research indicates that human feedback often remains more accurate, clear, and reliable, particularly for higher-order aspects such as content and structural coherence. Early AI-based writing tools like Grammarly and Criterion primarily focused on surface-level feedback, addressing syntax, punctuation, and sentence structure. However, newer generative AI tools, such as ChatGPT, have demonstrated an enhanced capability to assess content and writing style, providing recommendations for improvements in organisation and reasoning that more closely mirror human evaluations. This distinction highlights that while AI excels at mechanical correction, its utility for deeper, contextualised feedback is still evolving, underscoring the need for a complementary human role.

AI-Enhanced Speaking and Pronunciation Assessment

AI is profoundly reshaping speaking instruction in ELT by offering personalised, real-time feedback and immersive experiences that transcend the limitations of traditional classroom settings. The immediate feedback on pronunciation and grammar provided by these tools is particularly beneficial, as it addresses errors as they occur, fostering a sense of progress and achievement that significantly boosts learner confidence. This rapid correction mechanism aligns with pedagogical understanding that timely feedback enhances memory and self-correction abilities.

The functionality of these tools relies on advanced speech recognition algorithms. These systems analyse spoken utterances, providing scores on clarity, stress, and intonation, as seen with tools like ELSA Speak. Platforms such as FLOW Speak and Pronounce delve into phoneme-level accuracy and stress patterns, offering detailed, actionable corrections. The underlying speech recognition algorithms involve sophisticated preprocessing techniques, including pre-emphasis and normalisation, to ensure high-quality speech signals for accurate analysis, achieving remarkable accuracy in teaching evaluation. The effectiveness of these algorithms in processing and analysing speech signals is crucial for providing precise feedback.

Examples of leading AI-powered speaking tools include FLOW Speak, which provides structured, scenario-based conversation practice simulating real-world interactions. Pronounce specialises in refining pronunciation with precision-focused AI algorithms, offering instant suggestions and detailed speech tracking to help users become more aware of their pronunciation patterns. ELSA Speak offers an initial assessment followed by feedback on grammar, pronunciation, and vocabulary, utilising advanced speech recognition to analyse clarity, stress, and intonation. Smalltalk2Me provides comprehensive support, including IELTS speaking test simulators, a personal AI English Speaking Coach, and a judgment-free environment where learners can practice without fear of embarrassment, receiving instant feedback on grammar, pronunciation, and vocabulary. This judgment-free environment is a significant psychological benefit, as it directly addresses learner anxiety, a common barrier in language acquisition, thereby creating a safe space for practice and experimentation.

AI Grammar and Vocabulary Checkers

AI-powered grammar and vocabulary checkers have become indispensable tools for English language learners, offering immediate correction and refinement of written work. Tools like

Grammarly utilise advanced AI to identify and suggest fixes for grammatical errors, spelling mistakes, and punctuation issues, while also providing recommendations to enhance clarity and writing style. These platforms offer instant proofreading, tone suggestions to ensure messages are well-received, and even easy paraphrasing capabilities through generative AI. Similarly, Scribbr's AI grammar checker provides highly accurate corrections for grammar, spelling, punctuation, and word choice, supporting various English dialects and allowing for quick, comprehensive document review.

From a pedagogical standpoint, these tools offer real-time corrections that are instrumental in helping learners identify recurring patterns in their mistakes, thereby facilitating long-term improvement in writing skills. The immediacy of the feedback allows learners to correct errors as they write, reinforcing correct usage in the moment of creation. This instantaneous feedback is a significant advantage over traditional methods, where feedback might be delayed, potentially hindering the learning process. These tools not only correct errors but also provide explanations, helping learners understand the underlying rules and nuances of English grammar and vocabulary.

Adaptive Learning Systems with Embedded Assessment

Adaptive learning systems, powered by AI, represent a paradigm shift in personalised education. These systems dynamically tailor educational content and methodologies to the unique needs, learning styles, and preferences of individual students. This approach fundamentally departs from the traditional "one-size-fits-all" model, allowing students to progress at their own pace and in their preferred manner. By continuously assessing student learning processes, AI systems provide real-time feedback, adjust the difficulty of learning materials, and create individualised learning paths.

A prime example is Express DigiPlus, which scaffolds reading comprehension, guides pronunciation practice based on CEFR standards, and reinforces concepts where students struggle by offering opportunities to re-do tasks after providing targeted feedback. This platform meticulously tracks overall CEFR levels, completed exercises, and learning progress over time, offering a clear and graphic sense of advancement that significantly contributes to student self-regulation, agency, and metacognition. Other well-known adaptive platforms include Duolingo and Babbel, which often incorporate gamification for engaging language practice, as well as Rosetta Stone and Mondly, known for delivering personalised learning pathways.

For educators, these adaptive systems offer invaluable support. They provide meaningful insights into both individual student performance and whole-group realities, eliminating the need for teachers to manually create customised materials from scratch. This automation of data collection and analysis significantly eases the burden of planning and assessment, allowing teachers to gain a comprehensive overview of student progress and identify areas requiring intervention. This allows teachers to focus on higher-order pedagogical strategies rather than administrative tasks.

The Transformative Benefits of Immediate AI Feedback

The integration of AI into ELT assessment, particularly its capacity for immediate feedback, offers a multitude of transformative benefits that redefine the learning and teaching experience.

Personalisation and Adaptive Learning Pathways

AI technologies are instrumental in enabling truly personalised teaching and learning experiences by dynamically adapting content to individual student needs, learning styles, and preferences. This represents a significant departure from conventional uniform educational models, allowing students to progress at their own pace and in their unique way. The ability of AI systems to analyse vast amounts of student learning data, including quiz scores, homework completion, and online activities, allows for the creation of customised content, resources, and assessments. This data-driven approach means that learning paths can be adjusted in real-time, ensuring that each student receives targeted support precisely where and when it is needed. This capacity to tailor the learning experience addresses the inherent differences among students, a challenge that traditional classrooms often struggle to overcome. The effectiveness of this approach is evident in the enhanced learning outcomes and improved student engagement observed in AI-integrated environments.

Boosting Learner Engagement, Motivation, and Confidence

AI tools foster interactive, personalised, and often gamified learning experiences that significantly enhance student engagement, motivation, and overall English proficiency. The immediacy of feedback is a critical factor here; by addressing errors as they occur, AI systems cultivate a continuous sense of progress and achievement, which directly contributes to boosting learner confidence. This rapid feedback loop ensures that learners do not have to wait for corrections, allowing for immediate self-correction and reinforcement of correct language use. A particularly notable advantage, especially in speaking practice, is the judgment-free

environment offered by AI tools. This allows learners to practice without the fear of embarrassment or criticism often associated with human interaction, thereby reducing language learning anxiety and encouraging more frequent and uninhibited practice. This psychological safety is a powerful motivator, leading to increased participation and a more positive attitude towards learning.

Fostering Learner Autonomy and Self-Regulation

AI technologies serve as a crucial supporting pillar for Language Learning Autonomy (LLA). By providing real-time feedback, personalised content, and interactive exercises, AI empowers learners to take greater charge of their educational journeys. This shift enables students to define their learning objectives, select suitable learning techniques, and meticulously track their development, moving away from traditional teacher-centred instruction towards a more self-directed learning framework.

AI-assisted feedback mechanisms are particularly effective in fostering self-regulation in the writing process. They reduce students' dependence on constant instructor feedback while simultaneously increasing their engagement with revision strategies. Adaptive learning platforms, by meticulously recording student progress and offering opportunities to redo tasks, directly contribute to the development of students' self-regulation, agency, and metacognition. This continuous cycle of practice, immediate feedback, and self-correction allows learners to become more aware of their strengths and weaknesses, fostering a proactive approach to their language acquisition.

Enhancing Teacher Efficiency and Pedagogical Focus

One of the most immediate and tangible benefits of AI in ELT is the significant reduction in administrative workload for educators, allowing them to allocate more time and energy to core pedagogical strategies. Automated assessment systems, such as those for essay scoring, dramatically increase productivity by handling routine tasks like grading, thereby freeing teachers to focus on providing more nuanced student support.

AI can drastically cut down the time teachers spend on material production, including the automation of test item creation and optimisation of test questions, which traditionally consumes considerable effort. This efficiency gain is not merely about doing less work; it strategically reallocates teacher time from repetitive, lower-order tasks to higher-value activities. With AI handling the mechanical aspects of assessment and material generation,

teachers are empowered to concentrate on building stronger relationships with their students, tracking individual development more closely, providing contextualised feedback, and addressing complex learning challenges that AI cannot replicate. This shift allows educators to engage more deeply with the human aspects of teaching, fostering critical thinking and emotional support, which remain indispensable in the learning process.

Pedagogical Implications: Navigating the Human-AI Synergy

The integration of AI into ELT assessment carries profound pedagogical implications, necessitating a re-evaluation of teaching roles, assessment design, and the cultivation of essential skills in learners. The successful implementation of AI hinges on understanding and leveraging the synergy between human expertise and technological capabilities.

The Evolving Role of the ELT Instructor in an AI-Integrated Classroom

Despite the transformative potential of AI, the role of the human instructor remains critically indispensable in ELT. AI-based teaching environments impose new demands on teachers, requiring them to adapt their traditional roles and develop new competencies. The instructor's function is evolving from a conventional "assistant" or "facilitator" to a more complex, blended role. This includes interpreting AI-generated data to gain deeper insights into student learning patterns, providing essential emotional support that AI cannot replicate, and fostering higher-order thinking skills that go beyond rote memorisation or mechanical correction. Teachers are increasingly becoming "creative prompters" and "material designers," leveraging AI to generate diverse learning resources and assessment tasks, while still retaining the critical judgment to refine and contextualise these materials for their specific learners. This shift means that while AI handles the "what" (e.g., grammatical errors, vocabulary usage), teachers are freed to focus on the "why" and "how" of language learning, providing the nuanced guidance and human connection essential for true proficiency and confidence.

Adopting a Human-Centred AI Approach in Assessment Design

A human-centred approach to AI in education is paramount, prioritising learner needs to ensure a responsible, fair, and inclusive application of AI technologies. This perspective emphasises that AI should serve as a tool to augment human capabilities, rather than replacing human expertise and judgment. Within this framework, students are encouraged to leverage AI to support their continuous learning journeys, fostering the development of critical thinking, ethical awareness, and real-world problem-solving skills. The goal is not simply to use AI, but

to use it wisely, ensuring that human decision-making and oversight remain central to all processes that affect individuals. This approach ensures that the benefits of AI are harnessed in a way that truly enhances the educational experience, preparing students for an AI-powered future while preserving core human values.

Strategies for Integrating AI Feedback with Human Intervention (Hybrid Models)

A balanced approach is crucial for optimising AI's impact in ELT assessment, advocating for AI to *complement* rather than *replace* human feedback. Hybrid feedback models represent an effective strategy, leveraging AI's strengths in providing immediate, consistent, and surface-level feedback on aspects such as grammar and syntax. This allows human educators to dedicate their valuable time and expertise to higher-order aspects of writing, such as coherence, organisation, content development, and creative expression, which AI tools currently struggle to assess with the same depth and nuance. For example, teachers can utilise AI tools to generate initial personalised assessments and feedback on mechanical errors, then review these AI-generated insights, adding their personalised comments and contextualised guidance to ensure a comprehensive evaluation. This blending of AI's efficiency with human oversight ensures that students receive both immediate, actionable corrections and deeper, qualitative feedback essential for holistic language development.

Cultivating Critical Thinking and Creativity in an AI-Assisted Environment

While AI offers significant benefits, there are legitimate concerns about its potential impact on students' creativity and authenticity. The ease with which AI can generate content raises questions about the development of original thought and expression. To counteract this, educators must actively promote critical thinking and the rigorous evaluation of AI-generated content. This involves requiring students to critically engage with AI tools, understanding both their capabilities and their inherent limitations.

Pedagogical strategies can be adapted to foster these skills. For instance, assignment designs can be modified to require students to engage with content created after AI's typical training cut-off dates, content available only behind paywalls, or material discussed exclusively in class. Such requirements make it more challenging for students to rely solely on AI for answers, compelling them to engage in deeper research and analysis. Additionally, requiring heavy citations and encouraging alternative ways for students to represent their knowledge beyond traditional text formats can further promote original thought and reduce the temptation for AI

misuse. The focus shifts from merely producing an output to understanding the process, evaluating sources, and demonstrating original intellectual engagement.

Ethical Standards and Responsible Implementation

The integration of AI into ELT assessment, while promising, necessitates a robust framework of ethical standards to ensure its responsible and equitable application. These considerations are not isolated but are deeply interconnected, forming a holistic approach to trustworthy AI.

Addressing Bias and Ensuring Fairness in AI Assessment

AI systems, despite their perceived objectivity, can inadvertently perpetuate and even amplify systemic biases present in their training data. AI chatbots, for instance, are trained on vast amounts of publicly available online text, inevitably inheriting the biases of those sources and the society that produced them. This often results in a dominant white, male, American, and English-centric perspective, which can disadvantage diverse user groups. A significant concern is that AI detectors, designed to identify AI-generated content, are more likely to flag the work of writers for whom English is not a first language, creating an unfair disadvantage for English Language Learners (ELLs).

The origins of bias in AI are multifaceted, stemming from imbalanced datasets (e.g., predominantly non-ELLs leading to skewed results for ELLs), algorithmic design choices, or even human reviewers involved in the training process. The unique linguistic features and writing styles of ELLs can contribute to AI scoring biases, particularly when models are trained with datasets that do not adequately represent their diverse language backgrounds. This algorithmic bias can exacerbate existing disparities in education, undermining the principles of equity and fairness.

Mitigation strategies are crucial for addressing these concerns. Proper risk mitigation necessitates the use of diverse and representative datasets for AI training, ensuring that the AI models are exposed to a wide range of linguistic styles and backgrounds. Algorithmic transparency, strong human oversight, and formal accountability mechanisms within institutions are also vital to identify and rectify biases. Continuous monitoring and calibration of AI scoring models are essential to ensure ongoing fairness and consistency in assessment outcomes. Ultimately, building AI fluency among both teachers and learners is key to ensuring that AI assessment tools are developed and used equitably.

Table 1: Common Sources of Bias in AI Language Assessment and Mitigation Strategies

Source of Bias	Description	Impact on ELT Assessment	Mitigation Strategies
Dataset Bias	Training data predominantly from non-ELLs or specific demographics.	Skewed scoring for ELLs, AI detectors flagging non-native speakers, perpetuating stereotypes.	Use diverse, representative datasets; continuous monitoring and calibration; multilingual support.
Algorithmic Bias	Flaws in algorithms or assumptions made by designers.	Inconsistent or unfair scoring; inability to accurately assess nuanced language.	Algorithmic transparency, strong human oversight, periodic bias audits, and explainable AI.
Linguistic Bias	Over-reliance on dominant languages (e.g., English) in training.	AI models reflecting specific cultural/linguistic norms; difficulty with diverse accents/dialects.	Incorporate multilingual data; develop AI for various English variants; focus on core linguistic criteria.
Human Bias	Biases of programmers or human reviewers influence AI training.	AI reflecting societal prejudices; confirmation bias in feedback.	Diverse development teams, clear ethical guidelines for human annotation, and critical evaluation of AI outputs.
Systemic Bias	AI reinforces existing unfair procedures or practices.	Maintaining educational disparities and, lack of accessibility for certain groups.	Formal accountability mechanisms, human-centred design, and ensuring equitable access to AI tools.

Upholding Transparency and Explainability of AI Algorithms

Transparency is a critical element in building trust within the educational community as AI becomes increasingly integrated into higher education. It involves a clear understanding of how AI systems make decisions, the rationale behind their specific results, and the data they utilise. Without this clarity, stakeholders may view AI as a "black box," leading to scepticism and resistance.

Key requirements for transparent AI include explainability, interpretability, and accountability. Explainability refers to the AI system's ability to provide easy-to-understand explanations for its decisions and actions, such as why a particular product was recommended based on purchase history. Interpretability focuses on human comprehension of how an AI model operates and behaves internally. Accountability ensures that there are mechanisms to hold AI actors responsible for the proper functioning of AI systems and adherence to ethical principles.

For effective implementation, institutions must maintain clear and open communication regarding how AI algorithms function, the data they consider, and their influence on decisions. This includes transparently disclosing the limitations and potential biases inherent in AI tools. Algorithmic transparency specifically focuses on explaining the logic, processes, and underlying algorithms, offering insights into the types of models used and how they process data to reach decisions. This level of openness is essential for fostering trust and ensuring that AI-driven decisions align with institutional values of fairness and equity.

Safeguarding Data Privacy and Security in ELT Tools

The reliance of AI technologies on vast amounts of personal data makes data privacy an essential concern in the digital era. The integration of AI into various sectors, including education, presents significant privacy challenges such as unauthorised data usage, concerns regarding biometric data, covert data collection, and the potential for algorithmic bias stemming from data handling. Real-world implications include the risk of data breaches and identity theft, which can have far-reaching consequences beyond financial loss, impacting reputation and emotional well-being.

A particular risk arises from user error, where individuals might inadvertently input proprietary or sensitive student data into public AI tools like ChatGPT. These widely used AI platforms often have disclaimers indicating that user data can and will be used elsewhere, potentially becoming part of the AI's public repository, with no regard for privacy or confidentiality. This poses a significant threat to an organisation's sensitive information, as once data is exposed in the public domain, it is difficult to retrieve or control.

To mitigate these risks, organisations must prioritise data privacy by adopting transparent data usage policies, conducting regular audits, and implementing robust security measures. Compliance with international frameworks like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in Europe and other privacy laws worldwide is crucial. Some companies are exploring solutions such as developing their own internal AI tools, trained exclusively on their proprietary

data and not accessible by outside users, to maintain control over sensitive information. However, even with internal tools, the risk of data exposure persists if users transfer results to public AI platforms for further refinement. Therefore, a proactive approach to data governance, coupled with strict internal policies and user education, is essential to balance AI innovation with individual rights and data protection.

Ensuring Accessibility and Equity for Diverse Learners

AI offers innovative tools that can significantly contribute to creating more inclusive learning environments in ELT. These technologies have the potential to break down language barriers through real-time translation and provide tailored support for students with special needs or disabilities. The overarching goal is for AI to be developed in a manner that is inherently inclusive and easily accessible for every student, regardless of their background or learning challenges. AI's ability to offer multilingual support, such as Olex.AI translating feedback into a student's native language, further enhances inclusivity in diverse classrooms.

However, ensuring equitable access to AI tools remains a significant challenge. The cost of AI tools can be a substantial barrier, potentially excluding learners from less privileged backgrounds or institutions with limited resources. There is a genuine concern that AI could inadvertently widen existing technological divides both within and between countries, exacerbating educational inequalities.

To address these disparities, several strategies are necessary. Institutions should provide alternative options for those who cannot or choose not to use AI tools, ensuring that technology does not become a prerequisite for participation or success. Actively seeking diverse perspectives and representation in AI development, implementation, and policymaking is crucial to building systems that are truly inclusive and free from unintended biases. Furthermore, ensuring that AI solutions are designed with accessibility in mind from the outset is paramount. The commitment to "AI for all" must mean that everyone can benefit from technological advancements, particularly in terms of access to knowledge and innovation.

Navigating Academic Integrity and Preventing Misuse

Academic integrity has emerged as a significant concern with the widespread availability of AI language models like ChatGPT, leading to fears that students may use them to cheat on assignments. A particular challenge is that AI models can produce original output that is difficult, if not impossible, for current plagiarism detectors or "AI detectors" to reliably

identify. Moreover, AI's capacity to generate convincing but false information, often referred to as "hallucinations," raises concerns about the proliferation of "fake news" or deliberately misleading content.

To address these issues, clear guidelines for responsible AI use in academic work are essential. Students must be transparent about their use of AI and properly attribute any AI-generated content in their assignments and research. This shifts the focus from detection to declaration and accountability.

Pedagogical strategies play a crucial role in preventing misuse while fostering critical AI literacy. Educators should encourage open dialogue with students about AI's capabilities and limitations. Assignment designs can be adapted to make AI less effective for cheating, for example, by requiring engagement with content created after AI's training cut-off, material behind paywalls, or discussions held exclusively in class. Requiring heavy citations and encouraging alternative representations of knowledge beyond purely textual formats can also promote original thought. Frameworks like the AI Assessment Scale (AIAS) provide a structured approach for guiding students' AI use in assessments, fostering transparent dialogue and maintaining pedagogical validity. This proactive approach aims to educate students on ethical AI use, transforming AI from a potential cheating tool into a subject of critical engagement and a learning support.

Mitigating Over-reliance and Preserving Human Agency

A significant potential challenge with the pervasive integration of AI in education is the risk of over-reliance, which could inadvertently hinder students' creativity and authenticity. If learners become overly dependent on AI for tasks that require critical thinking or creative problem-solving, their intrinsic abilities in these areas may not fully develop.

To prevent this, it must be conceptualised and utilised as a tool to augment human capabilities, rather than replacing them entirely. This principle dictates that decisions affecting individuals, particularly in high-stakes assessment contexts, should always be made or at least reviewed by humans. The human educator's role remains vital in clarifying complex concepts, providing contextualization that AI cannot, and addressing the unique, learner-specific challenges that require empathy and nuanced understanding. Teachers are essential in ensuring that AI fosters, rather than diminishes, critical thinking and human connection within the learning environment. The aim is to leverage AI's efficiency for routine tasks while preserving and

enhancing the human agency that drives deeper learning, creativity, and meaningful interaction.

Conclusion: Towards a Future of Ethical and Effective AI Assessment in ELT

The integration of Artificial Intelligence into English Language Teaching (ELT) assessment presents a landscape of both unprecedented opportunities and significant challenges. AI offers transformative potential for personalised, immediate, and highly efficient assessment, thereby enhancing learner engagement, motivation, and autonomy. The ability of AI tools to provide instantaneous feedback across various language skills—from automated writing and speaking evaluations to adaptive learning pathways—fundamentally redefines the learning experience, allowing for real-time self-correction and tailored educational journeys. This technological advancement also significantly boosts teacher efficiency, reallocating their time from administrative burdens to more impactful, human-centric pedagogical activities.

However, realising this potential requires a vigilant and proactive approach to the inherent ethical considerations. The analysis has underscored critical issues such as algorithmic bias, which can perpetuate existing educational disparities, particularly for English Language Learners. Concerns surrounding data privacy and security necessitate robust safeguards against unauthorised data usage and breaches. Furthermore, the imperative for transparency and explainability in AI algorithms is paramount for building trust within the educational community. Ensuring accessibility and equity for all diverse learners, mitigating the risks of academic integrity violations, and preventing over-reliance on AI while preserving human agency are equally crucial. These ethical considerations are not isolated but are deeply interconnected, forming a complex web that demands a holistic and integrated response.

To navigate this evolving landscape responsibly, a multi-stakeholder approach is essential, with clear recommendations for each group:

- **For Educators:** It is vital to adopt a human-centred approach, blending AI-generated feedback with nuanced human intervention. This means fostering AI literacy among both students and themselves, enabling critical engagement with AI tools and understanding their capabilities and limitations. Implementing frameworks like the AI Assessment Scale (AIAS) can provide structured guidance for transparent AI use in assessment. Furthermore, adapting assignment designs to promote critical thinking and discourage misuse is crucial, shifting the focus from detection to pedagogical integration.

- **For Developers:** A primary responsibility lies in prioritising fairness by utilising diverse and representative datasets for AI training, actively working to mitigate biases that could lead to discriminatory outcomes. Ensuring transparency, explainability, and accountability in AI algorithms is fundamental, providing clear insights into how decisions are made. Building in robust data privacy and security measures from the initial design phase is non-negotiable. Crucially, AI tools must be designed with accessibility and inclusivity in mind, catering to the diverse needs of all learners.
- **For Policymakers:** Establishing clear policies and guidelines for responsible AI use in education, grounded in international ethical frameworks such as those from UNESCO and OECD, provides a crucial normative foundation. Investment in comprehensive teacher training and AI literacy programs is essential to equip educators with the necessary skills to effectively integrate AI. Regular AI audits should be conducted to assess the impact and effectiveness of AI implementations on campus, ensuring accountability and continuous improvement.

The future of AI in ELT assessment is not a binary choice between technology and human involvement, but rather a synergistic integration where AI augments human capabilities and supports human-centred learning. Success hinges on proactive ethical governance and continuous adaptation by all stakeholders. Future research should continue to explore AI-driven feedback applications in primary and secondary education, investigate AI's long-term impact on writing proficiency beyond short-term gains, and delve deeper into how AI tools can foster, rather than hinder, creativity and higher-order thinking skills. [1] Further studies are also needed on developing more sophisticated and fair AI detectors for non-native speakers and analysing the broader psychological and social impacts of AI integration on the educational community. [2] By embracing these principles and pursuing ongoing research, the ELT community can harness AI's full potential to create a more equitable, engaging, and effective learning environment for all.

Future Directions

The integration of Artificial Intelligence into English Language Teaching presents a profound opportunity to reimagine and enhance the educational landscape. AI holds immense potential to deliver personalised, adaptive, and highly engaging learning experiences, while simultaneously boosting teacher efficiency through the automation of routine tasks. This technological advancement can democratize access to tailored instruction and streamline the

creation of diverse educational materials, fostering greater student autonomy and improving specific language skills.

However, the successful implementation of AI in ELT is contingent upon a careful and critical consideration of its inherent challenges. These include the pervasive risks of algorithmic bias, significant data privacy and security implications, the complex issue of maintaining academic integrity in an AI-assisted environment, and the potential impact on students' critical thinking abilities and essential human interaction. An uncritical adoption of AI risks exacerbating existing inequalities and undermining the very cognitive and social skills that education aims to cultivate.

Maximising AI's benefits while mitigating its downsides necessitates a human-centred approach. This involves prioritising transparency, ensuring fairness through rigorous human oversight, and actively fostering AI literacy among both educators and learners. The adoption of hybrid models, which strategically combine AI capabilities with the indispensable expertise of human educators, is paramount for creating truly equitable and accessible learning environments.

Looking ahead, future research should continue to explore the nuanced impact of AI on diverse learner populations, refine ethical guidelines for AI development and deployment in educational contexts, and develop effective professional development programs that equip educators with the necessary skills to navigate this evolving landscape. Practitioners are encouraged to adopt AI strategically, always prioritising pedagogical soundness and fostering a collaborative learning environment where technology serves to enhance, rather than diminish, human potential and the richness of the learning experience.

References

[1] AIToolHunt. (n.d.). *Smalltalk2Me*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://www.aitoolhunt.com/tool/smalltalk2.me

[2] Al-Harbi, S. S., & Al-Qahtani, A. A. (2024). AI-Generated Feedback Versus Human Tutor Feedback in Medical Education: A Comparative Study. *Journal of Medical Education and Curricular Development*, *11*, 1-8. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/40528415/

[3] Al-Harbi, S. S., & Al-Qahtani, A. A. (2024). *AI-Driven Pronunciation Assessment: The Impact of SpeechAce on EFL Learners' Pronunciation Competency*. ResearchGate.

Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391669599_AI-Driven_Pronunciation_Assessment_The_Impact_of_SpeechAce_on_EFL_Learners'_Pronunciation_Competency

[4] Al-Harbi, S. S., & Al-Qahtani, A. A. (2024). *Fairness and Effectiveness in AI-Driven Educational Assessments: Challenges and Mitigation Strategies*. ResearchGate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391286093_Fairness_and_Effectiveness_in_AI-Driven_Educational_Assessments_Challenges_and_Mitigation_Strategies

[5] Al-Harbi, S. S., & Al-Qahtani, A. A. (2024). *Leveraging Generative AI in ELT: Teachers' Integration Strategies and Pedagogical Adaptations*. ResearchGate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/391086573_Leveraging_Generative_AI_in_ELT_Teachers'_Integration_Strategies_and_Pedagogical_Adaptations

[6] Al-Harbi, S. S., & Al-Qahtani, A. A. (2024). Student perceptions of hybrid feedback: Using Gen-AI to enhance engagement with EAP writing feedback. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, *20*(3), 1-12. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/392468498_Student_perceptions_of_hybrid_feedback_Using_Gen-AI_to_enhance_engagement_with_EAP_writing_feedback

[7] Al-Harbi, S. S., & Al-Qahtani, A. A. (2024). The Reshaping of English Language Teaching by Artificial Intelligence: An Analysis of Instructors' Roles and Benefits. *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, *20*(2), 1-15. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12121750/

[8] Al-Harbi, S. S., & Al-Qahtani, A. A. (2025). Algorithmic bias in educational systems: Examining the impact. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *25*(3), 1-10. https://journalwjarr.com/sites/default/files/fulltext_pdf/WJARR-2025-0253.pdf

[9] ALTE. (n.d.). *Item Writing Advanced with Elements of Artificial Intelligence*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://www.alte.org/page-18199

[10] Amazon Web Services. (n.d.). *What is NLP?* Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://aws.amazon.com/what-is/nlp/

[11] Automated essay scoring. (n.d.). In *Wikipedia*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Automated_essay_scoring

[12] Cambridge University Press & Assessment. (2025, July 7). *Cheating on English language tests with AI: Public concerns*. https://www.cambridge.org/news-and-insights/cheating-of-English-language-test-with-AI-public-concerns

[13] Cavanagh, C., & Cavanagh, M. (2021). Personalised and Adaptive Learning. In *Emerging Technologies in Education: A Comprehensive Guide for Educators*. Springer. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/349968589_Personalized_and_Adaptive_Learning

[14] Chen, J., & Warschauer, M. (2023). Human vs. AI: Exploring Perceptions of Feedback Accuracy in Automated Writing Evaluation. *Journal of Writing Analytics*, *7*, 1-20. https://escholarship.org/uc/item/6xd837s9

[15] Chen, J., & Warschauer, M. (2025). *Generative AI and Student Writing: An Analysis of ChatGPT Usage Patterns*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2501.10551. https://arxiv.org/html/2501.10551v1

[16] Cornell University. (n.d.). *AI & Accessibility*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://teaching.cornell.edu/generative-artificial-intelligence/ai-accessibility

[17] Cornell University. (n.d.). *Eight Important Reasons to Use a Grammar Checker*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://blogs.cornell.edu/learning/eight-important-reasons-to-use-a-grammar-checker/

[18] Data Quality Campaign. (2019). *Personalised learning, data-driven approach to education*. http://dataqualitycampaign.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/11/DQC-Personalized-Learning-11132019.pdf

[19] Ease Learning. (n.d.). *Human-Centred AI in Education*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://easelearning.com/easeblog/human-centered-ai-education/

[20] Element451. (n.d.). *Benefits of AI in Education*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://element451.com/blog/benefits-of-ai-in-education

[21] Express Publishing. (n.d.). *DigiPlus: Our Adaptive Learning Tool*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://www.expresspublishing.co.uk/en/blog/digiplus-our-adaptive-learning-tool

[22] Faulkner University. (2025, June 16). *AI's Role in Supporting Diverse Learners*. https://www.faulkner.edu/news/ais-role-in-supporting-diverse-learners/

[23] GenAI.works. (n.d.). *Pronounce*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://genai.works/applications/pronounce

[24] Inspera. (n.d.). *Examples of AI Misuse in Education*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://inspera.com/ai/examples-of-ai-misuse-in-education/

[25] Kim, J., & Cho, Y. (2024). The Role of AI Literacy and Self-Regulated Learning in Enhancing Writing Performance and Well-Being in Generative AI-Supported Academic Writing. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *15*, 12108985. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12108985/

[26] Kim, J., & Lee, J. (2024). *Incorporating AI into English Language Learning: An Experimental Study Focusing on Autonomous Learning*. ResearchGate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387430098_Incorporating_AI_into_English_Language_Learning_An_Experimental_Study_Focusing_on_Autonomous_Learning

[27] Kitanovska, E., & Kusevska, M. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in English Language Teaching: Benefits and Challenges. *Knowledge – International Journal*, *60*(1), 1-8. https://ojs.ikm.mk/index.php/kij/article/view/6590

[28] Lestari, N. (2020). Artificial Intelligence (AI)-Based Pronunciation Checker: An Alternative for Independent Learning in a Pandemic Situation. *ELT-Echo*, *5*(2), 165-174. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/348005610_Artificial_Intelligence_AI-Based_Pronunciation_Checker_An_Alternative_for_Independent_Learning_in_Pandemic_Situation

[29] Li, J., & Xia, M. (2025). *Examining AI Scoring Bias and Disparities for English Language Learners in Science Assessments*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.10643. https://arxiv.org/html/2505.10643v1

[30] Li, Y., & Liu, Y. (2024). The Impact of AI in Teaching on Student Creativity: The Mediating Role of Learning Engagement and the Moderating Role of AI Literacy. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *15*, 12109026. https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12109026/

[31] Matillion. (n.d.). *AI Data Integration ETL ELT*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://www.matillion.com/blog/ai-data-integration-etl-elt

[32] Meegle. (n.d.). *Transparent AI in Education Technology*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://www.meegle.com/en_us/topics/transparent-ai/transparent-ai-in-education-technology

[33] MIT Sloan. (n.d.). *Navigating Data Privacy*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://mitsloanedtech.mit.edu/ai/policy/navigating-data-privacy/

[34] National Science Teaching Association. (n.d.). *To Think or Not to Think: The Impact of AI on Critical Thinking Skills*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://www.nsta.org/blog/think-or-not-think-impact-ai-critical-thinking-skills

[35] Northern Michigan University. (n.d.). *Use of Generative AI for Academic Dishonesty by Students (Cheating)*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://nmu.edu/ctl/academic-dishonesty-using-generative-ai

[36] Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction. (2024). *AI Guidance: Foundations*. https://ospi.k12.wa.us/sites/default/files/2024-06/ai-guidance_foundations.pdf

[37] Olex.AI. (n.d.). *EAL AI Translation*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://olex.ai/features/eal-translation/

[38] Peak Scientific. (n.d.). *Grammar Checkers: Master Skills*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://peaksci.com/blog/grammar-checkers-master-skills

[39] Prophecy.io. (n.d.). *Define ELT: Transform Data Efficiently*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://www.prophecy.io/blog/define-elt-transform-data-efficiently

[40] QuestionWell. (n.d.). *What Sets Us Apart?* Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://questionwell.org/

[41] SchoolAI. (n.d.). *Key Questions AI Data Privacy Schools Before Implementation*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://schoolai.com/blog/key-questions-ai-data-privacy-schools-before-implementation

[42] Scribbr. (n.d.). *Spell checker*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://www.scribbr.com/spell-checker/

[43] Speech recognition. (n.d.). In *Wikipedia*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Speech_recognition

[44] TESOL. (n.d.). *The AI Assessment Scale: A Practical Framework for TESOL Educators in the Age of ChatGPT*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://www.tesol.org/the-ai-assessment-scale-a-practical-framework-for-tesol-educators-in-the-age-of-chatgpt/

[45] Testportal. (2023). *Best AI Question Generators 2023*. https://www.testportal.net/en/blog/best-ai-question-generators-2023/

[46] Time. (2024, May 22). *The Impact of AI on Critical Thinking Skills*. https://time.com/7295195/ai-chatgpt-google-learning-school/

[47] Twee. (n.d.). *How does it work?* Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://twee.com/

[48] UNESCO. (2023, May 16). *Recommendation on the Ethics of Artificial Intelligence*. https://www.unesco.org/en/articles/recommendation-ethics-artificial-intelligence

[49] University of Birmingham. (n.d.). *Grammarly*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://intranet.birmingham.ac.uk/student/libraries/asc/grammarly.aspx

[50] University of Michigan. (n.d.). *Explainable AI: New framework increases transparency in decision-making systems*. Retrieved July 11, 2025, from https://ioe.engin.umich.edu/2025/06/13/new-ai-framework-increases-transparency-in-decision-making-systems/

[51] Xia, M., & Li, J. (2024). *Using Artificial Intelligence in English Language Teaching: Benefits and Challenges*. ResearchGate. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/389361578_Using_Artificial_Intelligence_in_English_Language_Teaching_Benefits_and_Challenges

Er. V. Vigneshwaran is an English Language Teaching (ELT) Coach based in Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India. With a background that merges technical expertise and language pedagogy, his work focuses on the intersection of technology and education. His research interests include the integration of Artificial Intelligence in language learning, pedagogical innovations for 21st-century classrooms, and the ethical considerations surrounding AI-driven educational tools. He advocates for a human-centred approach to technology, aiming to enhance learner autonomy and teacher efficiency in ELT.