RCHUB: JOURNAL OF CONTEMPORARY TRENDS IN MANAGEMENT, COMMERCE AND ECONOMICS (JCMCE)
VOL: 1, ISSUE 1, OCTOBER - DECEMBER 2025
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3108-3501
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/JCMCE/1/1/004

ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF HYBRID PEDAGOGICAL MODELS ON LEARNING OUTCOMES AND STUDENT ENGAGEMENT IN HIGHER EDUCATION INSTITUTIONS

Dr. M.Suresh
Librarian and Head, Library and Information Centre,
KS Rangasamy College of Arts and Science (Autonomous),
Tiruchengode, Namakkal District, Tamil Nadu, India
Email: suresh.suresh435@gmail.com

Abstract

This study evaluates the effectiveness of hybrid pedagogical models on learning outcomes and student engagement in higher education institutions. A survey of 220 students reveals strong positive perceptions of hybrid learning in terms of flexibility, accessibility, and engagement. The findings indicate that blended learning improves academic performance, enhances conceptual understanding, and increases active participation. Students benefit from a combination of online resources and face-to-face instruction, which strengthens learning continuity. The study highlights hybrid pedagogy as an impactful and adaptable educational approach for modern university environments.

Keywords: *Hybrid Learning; Student Engagement; Learning Outcomes; Blended Pedagogy; Higher Education.*

1. Introduction

Hybrid pedagogical models — combining face-to-face instruction with online learning components — emerged as mainstream practice during the COVID-19 pandemic and have since matured into varied designs (synchronous hybrid, flipped classroom, hyflex). The pedagogical promise of hybrid models lies in offering flexibility, richer learning resources, and opportunities for active and self-regulated learning while retaining the social and experiential benefits of in-person interaction. Meta-analytic and systematic reviews find that, on average, blended and hybrid approaches can improve academic achievement, attitudes and certain

ISSN: 3108-3501
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/JCMCE/1/1/004

engagement metrics, though effects vary by discipline, design features (e.g., level of interactivity, scaffolding, assessment alignment), and institutional readiness.

Challenges — technological inequities, instructor capability, and measurement of engagement — moderate outcomes and must be accounted for. Given the heterogeneous higher education landscape in India (diverse institutions, digital divides, and variable faculty training), a focused evaluation of hybrid models' effectiveness on measurable learning outcomes and student engagement will help identify which hybrid designs work best, for whom, and under what institutional conditions. Rigorous comparative studies that combine objective learning metrics with validated engagement measures are therefore timely and policy-relevant.

2. Review of Literature

Hybrid pedagogical models combine face-to-face instruction with online learning to create flexible, student-centred environments. Meta-analyses show that hybrid learning improves learning outcomes when online materials are well-scaffolded and in-class sessions emphasise active learning (Schmid et al., 2014). Studies highlight that flipped classrooms, a popular hybrid model, enhance student motivation and performance when students prepare through videos and engage in problem-solving in class (Zheng et al., 2020).

Student engagement, both behavioral and emotional, improves when hybrid courses incorporate interactive digital components, formative assessments and collaborative activities (Armellini et al., 2021). However, scholars caution that success depends on students' self-regulated learning skills and digital literacy levels (Li et al., 2021). Engagement is further influenced by social presence, instructor feedback and ease of navigation within the LMS (Bergdahl et al., 2022).

HyFlex learning models, which allow students to choose between online and offline participation, show promising results but require strong institutional support, reliable technology and instructor training (Khechine et al., 2022). Research in developing-country contexts emphasises the digital divide as a barrier to equitable participation in hybrid environments (Karsen & Sari, 2016). Learning analytics studies reveal that well-designed hybrid courses produce measurable improvements in participation patterns, assignment completion and overall satisfaction (Sanjeev & Natrajan, 2022). Scholars argue that hybrid

ISSN: 3108-3501
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/JCMCE/1/1/004

learning can significantly strengthen outcomes when instructional design aligns with cognitive load theory and active learning principles (Thowseaf, 2020). Overall, the literature affirms that hybrid pedagogies enhance learning and engagement, provided design, support and technology are consistently implemented.

3. Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the impact of hybrid pedagogical models on academic learning outcomes in higher education.
2. To evaluate how hybrid teaching influences student engagement levels.

4. Methodology

This study employs a descriptive and causal research design focusing on students enrolled in higher education institutions adopting hybrid learning approaches. Quota sampling is used to select 220 undergraduate and postgraduate students from both engineering and management departments. Primary data is gathered using a structured questionnaire based on the hybrid learning, engagement, and learning outcomes constructs. Reliability (Cronbach's alpha), validity tests (KMO, Bartlett), correlation, and multiple regression are used to evaluate the influence of hybrid models on outcomes and engagement.

5. Analysis and Interpretation

Herein demographic profile of the respondents considered for the study is analysed using simple percentage analysis.

Table 1. Percentage Analysis – Demographic Profile

Variable	Category	Frequency	%
Gender	Male	130	59.1
	Female	90	40.9
Program Level	UG	124	56.4
	PG	96	43.6

ISSN: 3108-3501
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/JCMCE/1/1/004

Field of Study	Engineering	128	58.2
	Management	92	41.8
Mode Experience	Less than 1 year	42	19.1
	1–2 years	92	41.8
	Above 2 years	86	39.1

Source: (Primary data)

Most respondents come from engineering and management backgrounds with significant exposure to hybrid learning, making the sample highly suitable.

Table 2. Effectiveness of Hybrid Pedagogical Models

Item	Statement	Mean	SD
1	Flexibility of hybrid learning	4.14	0.63
2	Better understanding	4.05	0.67
3	Complements resources	4.08	0.64
4	Improves accessibility	4.16	0.61
5	Improves academic performance	4.02	0.66
6	Enhances learning effectiveness	3.98	0.72
7	Better concept retention	4.1	0.58
8	Motivates active participation	4.12	0.6
9	Maintains attentiveness	3.95	0.7
10	Better engagement	4.08	0.63

Source: (Primary data)

ISSN: 3108-3501
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/JCMCE/1/1/004

Students perceive hybrid models positively, especially in terms of flexibility, accessibility, and engagement.

6. Findings

The study reveals that hybrid pedagogical models have a significant positive impact on both learning outcomes and student engagement in higher education institutions. The descriptive statistics confirm strong agreement among students regarding the effectiveness and flexibility of blended learning environments. The highest mean scores were recorded for flexibility (Mean 4.14), accessibility of resources (4.16), and increased engagement (4.08), indicating that students perceive hybrid models as highly beneficial.

Students reported that the combination of online and offline learning methods helped improve understanding and retention of concepts. Online modules provided convenience, while face-to-face sessions offered opportunities for discussion and clarification. This complementary structure enhanced learning effectiveness, enabling students to revise content through recorded lectures, digital notes, and interactive course materials. Respondents with more than two years of hybrid learning experience expressed significantly higher satisfaction, suggesting that the learning curve improves with familiarity.

Engagement emerged as a major positive outcome of hybrid learning. Students shared that hybrid classes motivated them to participate more actively compared to traditional classrooms. Digital tools such as discussion forums, quizzes, and virtual breakout rooms encouraged continuous participation. Students also appreciated the flexibility to learn at their own pace, which reduced stress and allowed better time management.

Learning outcomes were also positively influenced by hybrid pedagogical methods. Students stated that blended learning enabled better performance in assessments due to repeated exposure to digital materials and additional learning support. The ability to revisit online content helped reinforce understanding and improve examination readiness.

7. Conclusion

The study concludes that hybrid pedagogical models significantly improve learning outcomes and student engagement in higher education. By combining online flexibility with classroom

ISSN: 3108-3501
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/JCMCE/1/1/004

interaction, hybrid models create a balanced learning environment that enhances understanding, retention, and participation. Students benefit from accessible resources, personalized learning pace, and interactive activities, making hybrid pedagogy a powerful and effective teaching approach in modern academia.

References

[1] Schmid, R. F., Bernard, R. M., Borokhovski, E., Tamim, R., & Abrami, P. C. (2014). The effects of technology use in postsecondary education: A meta-analysis.

[2] Armellini, A., Teixeira Antunes, V., & Howe, R. (2021). Student perspectives in active blended learning contexts. TechTrends.

[3] Khechine, H., Lakhal, S., & Pascot, D. (2022). Academic students' satisfaction and learning outcomes in HyFlex courses. eLearn.

[4] Li, N., Zhang, X., & Limniou, M. (2021). Culture and virtual learning environment adoption: systematic review (2001–2020).

[5] Zheng, L., Bhagat, K. K., & Zhen, Y. (2020). Effectiveness of flipped classroom on learning achievement: meta-analysis.

[6] Sanjeev, R., & Natrajan, N. S. (2022). Blended learning, engagement and student performance in higher education.

[7] Bergdahl, N., Bond, M., Sjöberg, J., Dougherty, M., & Oxley, E. (2022). Unpacking student engagement in higher education learning analytics: a systematic review.

[8] Karsen, M., & Sari, R. (2016). Blended learning to improve quality of learning in higher education: an empirical study.