INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

WHEN AI STARTS HANDLING MONEY: AGENTIC FINANCE AND THE TRANSFORMATION OF THE FINANCIAL ECOSYSTEM

Dr. S. Anitha, M.Com., M.Phil., MBA, Ph.D., SET,
Associate Professor and Head
Department of Commerce (General)
Valliammal College for Women
Contact No. : 9884147858
E-mail ID : anisrini80@gmail.com
Gokula Lakshmi R,
Student, III B.Com General,
Valliammal College for Women
Contact No. : 9787385030
E-mail ID : gokulalakshmi050607@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The rapid advancement of Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming financial services from systems that merely respond to user instructions into intelligent environments capable of anticipating needs, making decisions, and executing financial activities with limited human intervention. Within this emerging landscape, Agentic Finance represents a significant evolution in financial technology, where autonomous AI agents can perform tasks such as monitoring transactions, managing budgets, identifying financial patterns, initiating payments, comparing financial alternatives, and supporting routine financial decision-making on behalf of individuals and organization. This development introduces a new dimension to the financial ecosystem in which AI is no longer restricted to being a digital assistant but increasingly functions as an active participant in financial processes.

The concept of Autonomous AI Clerks provides a useful framework for understanding this transformation. Similar to a digital financial clerk, an AI agent can continuously analyze financial information, understand predefined objectives, interact with digital financial platforms, and perform authorized tasks without requiring users to manually initiate every action. The integration of such agents with digital payment infrastructures, banking platforms, and emerging financial technologies could create more personalized, automated, and efficient financial experiences. In contexts such as India's rapidly expanding digital-payment environment, including UPI-based transactions, agentic

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

systems could potentially assist users in managing recurring payments, tracking expenditure, achieving savings objectives, and making timely financial decisions.

However, the transition from AI-assisted finance to AI-directed finance also raises fundamental questions concerning delegated authority, accountability, transparency, privacy, security, bias, and human oversight. When an AI system is given permission to act with financial consequences, determining who is responsible for an incorrect or unauthorized decision becomes increasingly complex. Therefore, Agentic Finance cannot be evaluated solely from the perspective of technological efficiency; it must also be examined through the principles of responsible innovation and Financial Governance. It analyses the potential opportunities of agentic systems in improving financial inclusion, personalization, operational efficiency, and decision support, while also identifying the governance challenges associated with granting AI systems delegated financial authority.

KEYWORDS

Agentic Finance, Artificial Intelligence, Autonomous AI Clerks, Financial Ecosystem, AI Agents, Digital Payments, UPI, Financial Technology, Delegated Authority, Financial Governance

INTRODUCTION

Finance has historically evolved alongside advances in information and communication technology. From manual bookkeeping and physical banking to electronic banking, mobile applications and instant digital payments, each technological transition has altered the manner in which financial services are delivered and consumed. The latest transformation is distinctive because emerging agentic artificial intelligence can move beyond assisting people towards performing selected activities on their behalf.

Artificial Intelligence initially entered finance through applications such as statistical prediction, fraud detection, credit assessment, algorithmic analysis and customer-service automation. Generative AI subsequently expanded its capabilities into natural-language interaction, summarisation, information retrieval and decision support.

Agentic AI represents a further development in which an AI system can interpret an objective, determine the steps required to accomplish it, interact with external digital tools and adapt its actions according to outcomes.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

The concept of an Autonomous AI Clerk is used in this study to describe an AI-based digital financial assistant that performs routine financial activities within a defined scope of authority. The term does not imply unrestricted control over a person's or institution's finances. Instead, it emphasises delegated authority, predefined limits, continuous monitoring and escalation to human decision-makers whenever an activity exceeds the agent's permitted boundaries.

The significance of this development extends beyond individual banking applications. Financial services operate as an interconnected ecosystem involving banks, payment networks, fintech companies, merchants, consumers, regulators, technology providers and financial-market infrastructures. If AI agents begin interacting directly with several of these participants, the structure of financial activity itself may change.

The Indian financial ecosystem provides an especially relevant setting for studying this transition. India's extensive digital-payment infrastructure has created an environment in which autonomous payment agents could potentially operate at scale.

The emerging discussion around agentic payments through UPI makes delegated authority, spending limits, identity controls and liability particularly important areas for research Agentic Finance should therefore not be viewed merely as another application of Artificial Intelligence.

EVOLUTION OF THE FINANCIAL ECOSYSTEM

Traditional Finance

Traditional finance relied heavily on human initiation, physical documentation, manual verification and direct interaction. Financial decisions were generally made, authorised and monitored by people.

Digital Finance

Digitalisation transferred many financial activities to electronic platforms. Internet banking, ATMs, mobile banking and digital payments increased speed and accessibility, while the human user generally remained the direct initiator of the financial action.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

AI-Assisted Finance

AI introduced analytical capabilities such as pattern recognition, fraud detection, risk assessment and recommendation. Human users and financial professionals generally retained responsibility for final decisions.

Generative AI in Finance

Generative AI expanded financial interaction through natural language, enabling users to obtain explanations, summaries, assistance and decision support. However, recommendation and execution remained distinct.

Agentic Finance

Agentic Finance introduces delegated execution. An AI agent can potentially receive a defined objective, determine the sequence of actions required, access authorised tools and execute permitted activities while monitoring outcomes.

CONCEPT OF AGENTIC FINANCE

Agentic Finance refers to the application of autonomous or semi-autonomous AI agents to financial activities in which a system can interpret objectives, plan actions, interact with financial technologies and execute authorised tasks with limited continuous human intervention.

The concept differs from ordinary automation. Traditional automation generally follows predetermined rules, whereas agentic systems can potentially interpret objectives, select among possible actions and respond to changing circumstances. In financial applications, this capability may create a new layer between the customer and financial infrastructure.

A central principle of this study is that autonomy should operate within authority rather than autonomy replacing authority. A financial agent should therefore function within clearly defined permissions, transaction limits, conditions, monitoring mechanisms and human escalation procedures.

AUTONOMOUS AI CLERKS IN FINANCE

An Autonomous AI Clerk provides a practical way of understanding Agentic Finance. A conventional financial clerk may perform repetitive activities such as checking information, processing routine documents, monitoring transactions and maintaining records.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

An AI clerk could undertake comparable digital activities at greater speed and scale while operating within predefined rules.

Potential applications include routine payment management, financial documentation, compliance support, transaction monitoring, cash and liquidity management, product comparison, customer assistance and escalation of exceptional decisions.

The value of such systems is not necessarily the replacement of financial professionals. Their potential value lies in reallocating human attention from repetitive activities towards complex judgement, relationship management, ethical decisions and exceptional circumstances

LITERATURE REVIEW

The literature on Artificial Intelligence in finance has expanded from machine-learning applications towards generative and agentic systems. Earlier research and institutional studies have examined AI in financial intermediation, insurance, asset management, payments, fraud detection, credit assessment and operational decision-making.

Recent research has begun examining AI agents as active participants in financial processes. Studies of payment-system cash management demonstrate that AI agents can be experimentally evaluated for tasks such as payment prioritization and liquidity management under controlled conditions. This development is important because it moves the discussion from AI as an analytical tool towards AI as an operational actor.

The International Monetary Fund's recent work on agentic AI and payments identifies important issues surrounding authorization, liquidity, settlement, compliance, cybersecurity, traceability and legal responsibility. These concerns indicate that financial autonomy cannot be evaluated only through efficiency; the institutional architecture surrounding the agent is equally significant.

The emerging literature therefore presents Agentic Finance as a field with substantial opportunities but equally significant governance requirements. The transition from recommendation to execution increases the importance of accountability, auditability, authority management and human oversight.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

RESEARCH GAP

Existing studies have established substantial knowledge regarding AI adoption in financial services, including predictive analytics, fraud detection, customer service, credit assessment, financial decision support and automated operations. However, Agentic Finance introduces a different research dimension: the delegation of authority to AI systems that can act rather than merely advise.

The literature is increasingly addressing agentic payments and autonomous financial operations, but the combined relationship between AI autonomy, delegated authority, accountability and the wider financial ecosystem remains an emerging area.

A further opportunity exists to connect these technological developments with the Indian digital-finance environment, particularly UPI. This study addresses the gap by examining Agentic Finance through an integrated framework connecting AI capability, delegated authority, autonomous financial action and ecosystem-level consequences.

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

The increasing sophistication of Artificial Intelligence is changing the role of technology within financial services. Emerging agentic systems may be capable of performing authorized financial activities with limited human intervention. Greater autonomy can improve speed, convenience, personalization and operational efficiency, but it can also increase the consequences of errors, unauthorized actions, cyberattacks, opaque decisions and unclear accountability.

The central problem is how the financial ecosystem can benefit from the efficiency and autonomy of Agentic Finance while ensuring that financial authority, accountability, security and human oversight are not compromised.

RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- To understand the concept and evolution of Agentic Finance.
- To examine the role of autonomous AI clerks in the financial ecosystem.
- To analyse potential applications of AI agents in payments, financial operations, compliance and customer services.
- To examine opportunities created by delegated AI-driven financial actions.
- To identify major risks associated with autonomous financial decision-making.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

- To examine the importance of authority, accountability, transparency and human oversight in Agentic Finance.
- To study the emerging relevance of agentic payments in the Indian financial ecosystem, particularly in relation to UPI.
- To suggest measures for responsible and trustworthy adoption of Agentic Finance.

SCOPE OF THE STUDY

The study focuses on the emerging application of autonomous and semi-autonomous AI systems within the financial ecosystem. It covers financial institutions, payment systems, fintech platforms, consumers and regulatory considerations.

The study concentrates particularly on digital payments, financial administration, cash management, compliance, customer assistance and delegated financial decision-making. The Indian context receives specific attention because India's large-scale digital-payment infrastructure provides an important environment for examining possible agentic financial services.

The study does not attempt to evaluate the technical architecture of individual AI models. Instead, it focuses on business, financial, technological, governance and ecosystem implications.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The study adopts a descriptive, exploratory and conceptual research design based primarily on secondary data. Information is drawn from academic research, international financial institutions, central banks, regulatory publications, official payment-system information and credible contemporary reports.

Qualitative comparative analysis and structured conceptual analysis are used to examine the transition from conventional AI-assisted finance to Agentic Finance. The study distinguishes between established applications, emerging experiments and prospective developments to avoid treating future possibilities as present realities.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The proposed framework connects human intent, delegated authority, AI reasoning and planning, financial execution, and monitoring and accountability. Human intent establishes the objective. Delegated authority defines what the agent is permitted to do. AI reasoning determines an

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

appropriate sequence of actions.Financial execution occurs through authorized platforms. Monitoring and accountability provide auditability, human intervention and the ability to revoke authority.

This framework places delegated authority between human intention and autonomous execution. The distinction is essential because an agent should not derive unlimited authority merely from possessing technical capability.

AGENTIC FINANCE: OPPORTUNITIES

Operational Efficiency

AI agents can potentially handle repetitive financial activities continuously, reducing administrative workload and enabling institutions and individuals to allocate more time to higher-value activities.

Personalized Financial Services

Agents can potentially interpret individual preferences, recurring obligations and predefined financial rules to provide more tailored assistance and execution.

Faster Financial Decision Processes

Autonomous systems can analyse information and coordinate digital actions rapidly, which may reduce delays in routine financial processes.

Improved Financial Administration

Routine monitoring, documentation, reminders, reconciliation and payment management can potentially be coordinated by an AI clerk operating within predefined limits.

Financial Inclusion

Well-designed AI agents may simplify interaction with financial services and provide continuous assistance to users who find complex financial procedures difficult to navigate.

Scalable Compliance Support

AI agents can potentially support repetitive compliance checks, documentation review and monitoring, while high-risk decisions remain subject to appropriate human oversight.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

AGENTIC FINANCE: RISKS AND CHALLENGES

Delegated Authority Risk

The central challenge is determining precisely what an AI agent is authorised to do. Excessive permissions can increase the consequences of errors or misuse.

Accountability Risk

When multiple AI systems, financial institutions and technology providers interact, identifying responsibility for an outcome can become more complicated.

Cybersecurity and Tool Misuse

Agents connected to payment systems and external tools may create new attack surfaces. Secure authentication, permission management and continuous monitoring are therefore essential.

Transparency and Explainability

Users and regulators may require meaningful explanations of important actions, particularly where financial consequences are significant.

Data Privacy

Financial agents may process highly sensitive information. Excessive data collection or inappropriate access could create privacy and security concerns.

Systemic Risk

If many institutions rely on similar models, infrastructure or data providers, common errors or correlated behaviour could affect the wider financial system.

Human Overdependence

Excessive reliance on autonomous systems could reduce meaningful human scrutiny. Human oversight should therefore remain proportionate to the level of financial risk.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL AI

Dimension	Traditional AI	Generative AI	Agentic AI	Financial Significance
Primary role	Prediction and analysis	Interaction and assistance	Planning and authorised action	Moves AI closer to operational participation
Human involvement	High	High	Supervisory and boundary-setting	Changes the human role from operator to principal
Execution	Usually indirect	Usually user-led	Potentially autonomous within permissions	Raises authority and accountability issues
Adaptability	Model/rule dependent	Contextual generation	Goal-oriented and adaptive	Useful for dynamic financial workflows
Risk profile	Model risk	Information and model risk	Model, execution and systemic risk	Requires stronger governance

APPLICATIONS ACROSS THE FINANCIAL ECOSYSTEM

Financial Area	Potential Agentic Function	Potential Benefit	Key Control
Payments	Execute authorised recurring or low-value payments	Convenience and speed	Transaction limits and confirmation rules
Cash Management	Monitor balances and coordinate permitted transfers	Liquidity efficiency	Thresholds and escalation
Compliance	Monitor routine compliance events and documentation	Operational consistency	Human review for material cases
Fraud Monitoring	Identify anomalies and trigger protective actions	Faster response	False-positive controls and human escalation
Customer Service	Handle routine financial requests	24-hour assistance	Identity verification and access controls
Financial Administration	Organise records, reminders and routine tasks	Lower administrative burden	Audit trails
Product Comparison	Compare authorised financial products against user criteria	Personalisation	Transparent criteria and disclosure

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

INDIAN PERSPECTIVE: AGENTIC PAYMENTS AND UPI

India provides an important environment for studying Agentic Finance because of the scale and maturity of its digital-payment infrastructure. The emergence of agentic payment proposals around UPI illustrates how delegated financial authority may be incorporated into an existing payment ecosystem.

Recent reporting indicates that India is preparing a framework that could allow AI agents to make certain low-value digital payments without requiring approval for every individual transaction. Proposed safeguards reportedly include rule-based payments, spending limits, identity checks, audit mechanisms and liability provisions.

The significance of this development lies in the change of role assigned to the user. Instead of directly authorising every transaction, the user may establish conditions under which an AI agent is permitted to act. The user therefore becomes the principal who defines the boundaries, while the agent becomes the delegated actor.

The Indian experience also demonstrates why Agentic Finance should be examined as an ecosystem rather than as an isolated technology. Banks, payment networks, fintech platforms, merchants, customers, regulators and AI providers must coordinate their responsibilities for autonomous financial activity to remain trustworthy.

DELEGATED AUTHORITY MODEL

A practical Agentic Finance model can be structured around four levels of financial authority.

Low-Risk Routine Authority

The agent can perform clearly defined, low-value and recurring activities within fixed rules.

Conditional Authority

The agent can act when specified conditions are satisfied, such as spending limits, merchant categories or timing rules.

Escalation Authority

The agent can identify an exception and request human approval before continuing.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

Prohibited Authority

The agent is prevented from undertaking activities outside its assigned scope, regardless of its technical capability.

GOVERNANCE REQUIREMENTS

Responsible Agentic Finance requires governance to be designed alongside technological capability. Financial institutions should define the precise authority delegated to each agent, maintain auditable records of actions, monitor unusual behaviour and provide mechanisms for immediate intervention.

Users should receive clear information about what an agent can access, what it can execute, what limits apply and how authority can be withdrawn. High-value or high-risk transactions should receive stronger authentication and human review.

Regulators may also need visibility into the use of AI agents, their dependencies on external technology providers and the concentration risks that could arise when multiple financial institutions depend upon similar AI infrastructure.

FINDINGS

- Agentic Finance represents a qualitative shift from AI-assisted financial activity towards delegated AI action.
- Autonomous AI clerks can potentially reduce repetitive administrative work and improve the speed of routine financial processes.
- The most important distinction between generative AI and agentic AI is not conversation alone, but the ability to plan and execute authorized actions.
- Delegated authority is a central governance issue because technical capability does not automatically justify financial authority.
- Agentic payments could become particularly significant in India because of the scale of the existing digital-payment ecosystem.
- The benefits of autonomy are accompanied by risks involving cybersecurity, privacy, transparency, accountability, model errors and systemic concentration.
- Human oversight remains important, especially for high-value, exceptional or irreversible financial decisions.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

- The long-term success of Agentic Finance will depend as much on governance, standards and trust as on AI capability.

SUGGESTIONS

- Financial institutions should introduce agentic systems gradually, beginning with low-risk and clearly measurable activities.
- Every AI agent should operate under explicit permissions, transaction limits and predefined escalation conditions.
- Financial institutions should maintain comprehensive audit trails showing the agent's instructions, actions and outcomes.
- Users should be given simple controls to review, modify, suspend or revoke delegated authority.
- High-risk financial decisions should require appropriate human review rather than unrestricted autonomous execution.
- Strong authentication and cybersecurity controls should be integrated into every agentic financial workflow.
- Regulators should encourage common standards for agent identity, authority management, auditability and liability.
- Financial institutions should regularly test agents for errors, bias, security vulnerabilities and unexpected behaviour.
- Consumers should be educated about the difference between AI assistance and AI authority so that they understand what they are delegating.
- Organisations should maintain contingency arrangements for situations in which AI systems become unavailable, compromised or unreliable.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

- The study is primarily based on secondary information because Agentic Finance remains an emerging field and large-scale real-world datasets are limited.
- Several applications discussed in the study are developing or experimental; therefore, their future implementation may differ from present expectations.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

- The study does not conduct a technical evaluation of individual AI models or payment infrastructures.
- The Indian agentic-payment environment is evolving, and regulatory and operational arrangements may change as implementation progresses.

CONCLUSION

Agentic Finance represents an important emerging stage in the evolution of the financial ecosystem. The fundamental change is not simply that Artificial Intelligence is becoming more capable, but that financial users and institutions may increasingly delegate selected actions to AI systems capable of planning and execution.

Autonomous AI clerks could transform routine financial administration, payments, compliance support, customer services and cash-management activities. By reducing repetitive work and coordinating digital processes, they may contribute to a faster, more personalised and efficient financial ecosystem.

However, the delegation of financial action creates responsibilities that did not exist to the same degree when AI functioned primarily as an analytical or conversational tool. Questions of authority, accountability, cybersecurity, privacy, explainability and systemic risk become central once an AI system can act with financial consequences.

The emerging Indian discussion around agentic UPI payments demonstrates that this is moving from an abstract technological concept towards practical financial infrastructure. The appropriate objective should not be unrestricted autonomy, but bounded and accountable autonomy in which AI systems act within clearly defined permissions while humans retain meaningful control over important decisions.

The future of finance may therefore not be a choice between humans and Artificial Intelligence. It may instead be an ecosystem in which humans establish objectives and boundaries, AI agents perform authorised tasks, financial institutions provide secure infrastructure and regulators ensure accountability. The real measure of Agentic Finance will consequently be not how independently AI can act, but how safely, transparently and responsibly that independence can be governed.

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF HUMANITIES AND LEARNING TECHNOLOGY INNOVATION (IJHLT)
VOLUME 1, ISSUE 3, OCTOBER – DECEMBER 2026
RCHUB PUBLISHER
ISSN: 3139-9185
DOI: https://doi.org/10.65725/IJHLT/1/3/003

REFERENCES

[1.] Bank for International Settlements. (2025). Intelligent financial system: How AI is transforming finance. BIS Working Papers.

[2.] Bank for International Settlements. (2026). AI agents for cash management in payment systems. BIS Working Papers.

[3.] International Monetary Fund. (2026). How Agentic AI Will Reshape Payments. IMF Notes.

[4.] International Monetary Fund. (2026). How Central Banks Can Contain Financial Stability Risks as AI Accelerates Change.

[5.] European Central Bank. (2026). AI and the euro area economy: implications for financial and economic activity.

[6.] Amundi Research Center. (2026). Agentic AI for Financial Applications: A Comprehensive Survey.

[7.] Gong, H. (2026). AI Agents in Financial Markets: Architecture, Applications, and Systemic Implications.

[8.] Chaudhry, A. U. (2026). The Swarm Accountability Gap in Autonomous Finance.

WEBSITES

- International Monetary Fund — imf.org
- Bank for International Settlements — bis.org
- European Central Bank — ecb.europa.eu
- Reserve Bank of India — rbi.org.in
- NPCI — npci.org.in
- Reuters — reuters.com